I.T.S Engineering College, Greater Noida Department of Mechanical Engineering

Marks Assessment sheet

Batch session 2019-23 2022-23

Sub: Project Code: KME 851, Internal Marks

11.77	Methodology		Sca	le		
C	ourse Outcome (COs)	1 (0-20%)	2 (20-40%)	3 (40-60%)	4(60-80%)	5(80-100%)
CO-1	Presentation and Communitation	Does not communicate properly	Less communicate for audiable	Very good communication	Better communication	Excellent Communication
CO-2	Research & Gather Information	Does not collect any information that relates to the topic	Collects very little information some relates to the topic	collects some basic information most relates to the topic	Collects a good deal of information all relate to the topic.	Collects a great deal of information all relate to the topic.
CO-3	Methodology/design of project	Designing of the project is unacceptable as it has been designed without keeping in view of the standard process available for designing	Designing of the project is Marginally acceptable as it has been designed with keeping in view of the standard process available for	Designing of the project is acceptable as it has been designed with keeping in view of the standard process available for	Designing of the project is fairly acceptable as it has been designed withkeeping in view of the standard process available for designing fairly.	Designing of the project is exceptional as it has been designed with keeping in view the standard process available for designing
CO-4	Fulfill team role's duty	Does not perform any duties of assigned team role	Performs very few duties	Perform nearly all duties	Performs almost duties of assigned team role	Performs all duties of assigned team role
CO-5	Societal use of the project or future scope	Not usefull for society and No future scope	Rarely usefull for society and very limited future	Limited use for society and limited future scope	Usefull for society and future scope is there	Excellent Scope of modification and very usefull for
CO-6	Report writing	Unacceptable	Marginal	Acceptable	Fairly Acceptable	Exceptional

			Presentation and	Communitation	Research & Gat	her Information	Methodolog pro	gy/ design of oject	Fulfill team	role's duty	A CONTRACTOR OF STREET, CARL	of the project re scope	Report	writing	Internal Marks
S.No.	Roll No.	Name of the Students	1	5	1	0	1	15	1	0	2	10	30	D	100
			Grade	Scale	Grade	Scale	Grade	Scale	Grade	Scale	Grade	Scale	Grade	Scale	Grade
1	1902220400001	AAKASH	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	27	5	95
2	1902220400002	ABHIMANYU RAJPUT	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	27	.5	95
3	1902220400003	ABHISHEK PAL	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	30	5	97
4	1902220400005	ALOK KUMAR	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	25	5	92
5	1902220400006	ANURAG SINGH	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	30	5	97
6	1902220400007	ASHIRVAD PAL	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	30	5	98
7	1902220400008	ASHUTOSH YADAV	14	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	27	5	93
8	1902220400009	BHUVAN KUMAR LODHI	14	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	27	5	93
9	1902220400011	CHANDAN SHARMA	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	30	5	97
10	1902220400012	HARSH CHAURASIA	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	27	5	95
11	1902220400013	JAIBEER	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	27	5	95
12	1902220400015	JUNED KHAN	. 14	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	27	5	93
13	1902220400016	KARN RAJPUT	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	27	5	95
14	1902220400018	KRITESH MISHRA	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	25	5	92
15	1902220400019	LAKSHAY YADAV	14	5	10	1 5	15	5	10	5	17	5	27	5	93
16	1902220400020	MANZAR IQBAL	15	5	10	DIAM	15	5	10	5	15	4	25	5	90
17	1902220400021	MAYANK TOMAR	15	5	10	1 X John	15	metto	10/10	0 5	18	5	27	5	95

Head of Department

18	1902220400022	MD NAWAZ KARIM KHAN	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	15	4	25	5	90
19	1902220400023	MOHAMMAD ARIZ	14	/ 5	10	5	15	/5	10	5	17	5	27	/ 5	93
20	1902220400024	MOHD AMMAR KHAN	15	5 .	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	30	5	97
21	1902220400025	MOHD WASEEM	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	27	5	95
22	1902220400026	MUNISH SINGH	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	25	5	92
23	1902220400027	NIDHI SHARMA	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	30	5	98
24	1902220400028	PRADUMAN KUMAR	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	27	5	95
25	1902220400029	PRASOON SINGH	14	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	27	5	93
26	1902220400030	PRIYANSHU GUPTA	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	28	5	96
27	1902220400032	RAJKUMAR SHUKLA	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	30	5	97
28	1902220400032	SHIVENDRA KUMAR SINGH	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	27	5	95
29	1902220400034	SOHAIB AHMAD	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	15	4	25	5	90
30	1902220400036	SURAJ VISHVAKARMA	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	25	5	92
31	1902220400037	UBAID ZAHOOR AHANGER	15	. 5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	25	5	92
32	1902220400038	VINIT YADAV	14	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	27	5	93
33	1902220400040	VIPIN M.S							14 14 14 14						WHL
34	1902220400041	VIPIN KUMAR	14	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	27	5	94
35	1902220400042	YASH BHARDWAJ	14	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	27	5	94
36	2002220409001	Aniket Kumar	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	17	5	25	5	92
37	2002220409002	Jayant Singh Rajput	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	30	5	98
38	2002220409003	Sachin Singh	15	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	27	5	95
39	2002220409004	Tushar Pal	14	5	10	5	15	5	10	5	18	5	27	5	94

Brijeth

Head of Department

TS Engineering College Greater Noida

I.T.S Engineering College, Greater Noida Department of Mechanical Engineering

Marks Assessment sheet

Batch session 2019-23 2022-23

Sub: Code: Project KME 753

	Methodology		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	Scale		
Co	ourse Outcome (COs)	1 (0-20%)	2 (20-40%)	3 (40-60%)	4 (60-80%)	5 (80-100%)
CO-1	Presentation and Communitation	Does not communicate properly	Less communicate for audiable	Very good communication	Better communication	Excellent Communication
CO-2	Research & Gather Information	Does not collect any information that relates to the topic	Collects very little information some relates to the topic	collects some basic information most relates to the topic	of information all	Collects a great dea of information all relate to the topic.
CO-3	Methodology/design of project	Designing of the project is unacceptable as it has been designed without keeping in view of the standard process available for designing	Designing of the project is Marginally acceptable as it has been designed with keeping in view of the standard	Designing of the project is acceptable as it has been designed with keeping in view of the standard process available for designing	Designing of the project is fairly acceptable as it has been designed withkeeping in view of the standard process available for designing fairly.	Designing of the project is exceptional as it ha been designed with keeping in view the standard process available for designing exceptionally.
CO-4	Fulfill team role's duty	Does not perform any duties of assigned team role	Performs very few duties	Perform nearly all duties	Performs almost duties of assigned team role	Performs all duties of assigned team role

Rayane

Director C lege

			Presentation and	Communitation	Research & Gat	her Information	Methodolog pro	SCHOOL STATE OF THE PARTY OF TH	Fulfill ter		(Marks
S.No.	Roll No.	Name of the Students	4	0	4	0	4	0	3	0	150
			Grade	Scale	Grade	Scale	Grade	Scale	Grade	Scale	Grade
		A A MARCIL	35	5	30	4	30	4	30	5	125
1	1902220400001	AAKASH		4	28	4	27	4	17	3	100
2	1902220400002	ABHIMANYU RAJPUT	28	-			38	5	20	4	130
3	1902220400003	ABHISHEK PAL	34	5	38 ,	3			20	4	110
	1902220400005	ALOK KUMAR	30	4	30	4	30	4		-	
4			34	5	38	5	38	5	20	4	130
5	1902220400006	ANURAG SINGH			38	5	38	5	20	4	130
6	1902220400007	ASHIRVAD PAL	34	5		-	30	1	20	4	110
7	1902220400008	ASHUTOSH YADAV	30	4	30	4		-		-	125
	1902220400009	BHUVAN KUMAR LOD	35	5	30	4	30	4	30	7 2	123

myeth

History ENGINEERING

			,			/					
9 .	1902220400011	CHANDAN SHARMA	30	4	30	4	30	4	20	4	110
10	1902220400012	HARSH CHAURASIA	35	5	30	4	30	4	30	5	125
11	1902220400013	JAIBEER	30	4	30	4	30	4	30	5	120
12	1902220400015	JUNED KHAN	30	4	30	4	30	4	30	5	120
13	1902220400016	KARN RAJPUT	28	4	28	4	27	4	17	3	100
14	1902220400018	KRITESH MISHRA	35	5	30	4	30	4	30	5	125
15	1902220400019	LAKSHAY YADAV	30	4	30	4	30	4	20	4	110
16	1902220400020	MANZAR IQBAL	30	4	30	4	30	4	20	4	110
17	1902?20400021	MAYANK TOMAR	30	4	30	4	30	4	30	5	120
18	1902220400022	MD NAWAZ KARIM KH	30	4	30	4	30	4	30	5	120
19	1902220400023	MOHAMMAD ARIZ	30	4	30	4	30	4	30	5	120
20	1902220400024	MOHD AMMAR KHAN	30	4	30	4	30	4	20	4	110
21	1902220400025	MOHD WASEEM	34	5	38	5	38	5	20	4	130
22	1902220400026	MUNISH SINGH	35	5	30	4	30	4	30	5	125
23	1902220400027	NIDHI SHARMA	38	5	38	5	38	5	21	4	135
24	1902220400028	PRADUMAN KUMAR	30	4	30	4	30	4	20	4	110
25	1902220400029	PRASOON SINGH	30	4	30	4	30	4	30	5	120
26	1902220400030	PRIYANSHU GUPTA	35	5	30	4	30	4	30	5	125
27	1902220400032	RAJKUMAR SHUKLA	38	5	38	5	38	5	21	4	135
28	1902220400033	SHIVENDRA KUMAR S	34	5	38	5	38	5	20	4	130
29	1902220400034	SOHAIB AHMAD	30	4	30	4	30	4	25	5	115
30	1902220400036	SURAJ VISHVAKARMA	30	4	30	4	30	4	30	5	120
31	1902220400037	UBAID ZAHOOR AHAN	30	4	30	4	30	4	25	5	115
32	1902220400038	VINIT YADAV	35	5	30	4	30	4	30	5	125
33	1902220400040	VIPIN M.S	30	4	30	4	30	4	25	5	115
34	1902220400041	VIPIN KUMAR	35	5	30	4	30	4	30	5	125
35	1902220400042	YASH BHARDWAJ	35	5	30	4	30	4	30	5	125
36	2002220409001	Aniket Kumar	30	4	30	4	30	4	20	4	110
37	2002220409002	Jayant Singh Raiput	34	5	38	5	38	5	20	4	130
38	2002220409003	Sachin Singh	30	4	30	4	30	4	20	4	110
39	2002220409004	Tushar Pal	35	5	30	4	30	4	30	5	125

Head of Department

TTS Engineering College
Greater Noise



I.T.S ENGINEERING COLLEGE GREATER NOIDA (A NAAC Accredited Engineering College)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Marks Assessment sheet

Batch 2019-23 Session 2022-23

Sub: Industrial Training (Internship)

Code: KME752

	Methodology			Scale	
	Course Outcome (COs)	1 (0-25%)	2 (25-50%)	3 (50-75%)	4(75-100%)
CO-1	Presentation	Not appropriate to the topic Confident delivery style with not clear voice Contents of presentations are not appropriate and not well arranged Average spoken English	Appropriate to the topic Confident delivery style with not clear voice Contents of presentations are not appropriate and not well arranged Average spoken English	Appropriate to the topic Confident delivery style with not clear voice. Contents of presentations are appropriate but not well arranged Good spoken English	Appropriate to the topic Confident delivery style with clear voice Contents of presentations are appropriate and well arranged Good spoken English
CO-2	Technical knowledge, awareness about the Industry and its work	Well defined problem but objectives and methodology not cleared. Key concepts not specified Results are relevant but not presented in appropriate manner Work is not well summarised and concluded	Well defined problem but objectives and methodology not cleared. Key concepts specified and explained technically Results are relevant but not presented in appropriate manner Work is well summarised and concluded	Well defined problem with clear cut objectives and methodology Key concepts specified and explained technically Results are relevant but not presented in appropriate manner Work is well summarised and concluded	Well defined problem with clear cut objectives and methodology Key concepts clearly specified and explained technically Results are relevant and presented in appropriate manner Work is well summarised and concluded
CO-3	Industrial Training Report	Report not as per prescribed format All chapters arrange not in proper sequence Formatting issues. Tables and Figures are not clearly visible The Content is not well explanatory	Report as per prescribed format All chapters arrange not in proper sequence Formatting issues. Tables and Figures are not clearly visible The Content is explanatory	Report as per prescribed format All chapters arrange in proper sequence Formatting issues. Tables and Figures are not clearly visible The Content is well explanatory	Report as per prescribed format All chapters arrange in sequence No formatting issues. Tables and Figures are clearly visible The Content is well explanatory
CO-4	Viva-voce	Good understanding of the relevance of the Industrial Training. Average knowledge of domain Technically correct but not confident answers Approximate nearby answers	Good understanding of the relevance of the Industrial Training. Average knowledge of domain Technically correct and confident answers Appropriate answers	Good understanding of the relevance of the Industrial Training. Good knowledge of domain Technically correct and confident answers Crisp to-the-point answers	Good understanding of the relevance of the Industrial Training. Extensive knowledge of domain Technically sound and confident answers Crisp to-the-point answers

Make

Seed of Department

MACHINEROPAL DECEMBERNO

TTS Engineering College Greater Noids

S.No.	Roll No.	Name of the Student	' Presenta	ition (CO1)	Technical knowledge, awareness about the la (CO2)	ndustry and its work	Indus Trair Rep (CC	ning oort 03)	Viva-	04)	Interna I Marks
5.1				10	20		10		1	-	50
			Marks	Scale	Marks	Scale	Marks				
1	1902220400001	AAKASH	8	4	18	4	10	4	8	4	44
2	1902220400002	ABHIMANYU RAJPUT	9	4	18	4	10	4	9	4	46
3	1902220400003	ABHISHEK PAL	10	4	18	4	8	4	8	4	44
4	1902220400005	ALOK KUMAR	8	4	16	4	8	4	8	4	40
5	1902220400006	ANURAG SINGH	8	4	17	4	9	4	8	4	42
6	1902220400007	ASHIRVAD PAL	9	4	20	4	10	4	10	4	49
7	1902220400008	ASHUTOSH YADAV	8	4	17	4	9	4	8	4	42
	1902220400009	BHUVAN KUMAR LODHI	8	4	16	4	8	4	8	4	40
8	1902220400003	CHANDAN SHARMA	9	4	18	4	10	4	9	4	46
9	1902220400011	HARSH CHAURASIA	10	4	18	4	10	4	9	4	47
10	1902220400012	JAIBEER	8	4	18	4	10	4	8	4	44
11	1902220400015	JUNED KHAN	9	4	18	4	10	4	8	4	45
12	1902220400015	KARN RAJPUT	9	4	18	4	10	4	9	4	-
13	1902220400018	KRITESH MISHRA	8	4	17	4	9	4	8	4	-
14	1902220400019	LAKSHAY YADAV	7	3	14	3	7	3	7	3	-
15		MANZAR IQBAL	7	3	14	3	7	3	7	3	-
16	1902220400020	MAYANK TOMAR	9	4	15	4	7	3	9	4	-
17	1902220400021	MD NAWAZ KARIM KHAN	8	4	17	4	9	4	8	4	-
19	1902220400023	MOHAMMAD ARIZ	9	4	18	4	10	4	9	4	_
20	1902220400024	MOHD AMMAR KHAN	9	4	20	4	10	4	10	4	-
21	1902220400025	MOHD WASEEM	9	4	20	4	10	4	9	4	-
22		MUNISH SINGH	8	4	18	4	9	4	8	-	-
23		NIDHI SHARMA	8	4	18	4	9	4	8	4	43
24		PRADUMAN KUMAR	8	4	18	4	9	4	8	4	43
25		PRASOON SINGH	8	4	18	4	9	4	8	4	-
26		PRIYANSHU GUPTA	9	4	18	4	10	4	9	4	46
-		RAJKUMAR SHUKLA	8	4	18	4	9	4	8	4	43
27		SHIVENDRA KUMAR SINGH	8	4	18	4	9	4	8	4	4
28		SOHAIB AHMAD	8	4	18	ANP 4	7	3	8	4	4
29		SURAL VISHVAKARMA	. 8	4	18	4	7	3	-	-	-
30			8	4	18	1 4	7	3	8	4	-
31		UBAID ZAHOOR AHANGER	7	3	14	3	8	4	9	4	-
32		VINIT YADAV	9	4	17	Director gineering greater No	10	4	AND BUILDING	-	-
33		VIPIN M.S	7	3	14	Directo	CPIN	edş	7	3	-
34	_	VIPIN KUMAR YASH BHARDWAJ	8	4	17	ineering	0 9	4	8	1 4	4 4

Neha

Gent of the Winds

	,						1		_	_	_
26	2002220409001	Aniket Kumar	8	4	17	. 4	10	4	9	4	44
30				4	18	4	9	4	8	4	43
37	2002220409002	Jayant Singh Rajput	8			1	9	4	8	4	42
38	2002220409003	Sachin Singh	8	4	1/	1	-	-	-	-	
39	2002220409004	Tushar Pal	8	4	17	4	10	4	9	1 4	44

Neha

Control Control Control

Payaro

Director College College Greater Noida



I.T.S ENGINEERING COLLEGE GREATER NOIDA

(A NAAC Accredited Engineering College)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Marks Assessment Sheet

Batch 2020-24 Session 2022-23 Subject Mini Project Code KME 554

100	Methodology	对地方的是这些地位是不够的。	S	cale		
	Course Outcome (COs)	1 (0-20%)	2 (20-40%)	3 (40-60%)	4(60-80%)	5(80-100%)
CO-1	Practice acquired knowledge within the chosen area for project development.	Did not practice acquired knowledge	Very less acquired knowledge practiced	Good acquired knowledge practiced	Very good acquired knowledge practiced	Acquired knowledge practiced in best way.
CO-2	Identify, reproduce, improve and refine technical aspects for engineering project.	Does not identify, reproduce, improve and refine technical aspects	Identify, reproduce, improve and refine technical aspects very little		Identify, reproduce, improve and refine technical aspects in detailed manner.	Identify, reproduce, improve and refine technical aspects in an excellent way.
CO-3	Work as an individual or in a team in development of technical project	Did not perform any duty assigned as an individual or in a team.	Performed very few duties	Performed nearly all duties	Performed almost all duties assigned	Performed all duties assigned in best way.
CO-4	Communicate and report effectively project related activities and findings.	Did not demonstrate and report effectively	Demonstrate and report satisfactorily.	Demonstrate and report in a good way.	Very good demonstration and reporting.	Best demonstration and reporting.

Rufers

Head of Department

Clayan

ITS Engineering College
Greater Noius

S. No.	Roll No.	Name of the Student	Practice acquir (CC	21)	Identify, repro	ne (CO2)	individ in a	dual or team 03)	te a rep (CC	ort 04)	Internal Marks
			10	0	10	0		.0			
928			Marks	Scale	Marks	Scale	Marks	Scale	Marks	Scale	
1	2002220400001	Abhishek Sharma	9	5	9	5	9	5	19	5	46
2	2002220400002	Adarsh Kumar Mishra	9	5	9	5	9	5	19	5	46
3	2002220400004	Deepanjan	10	5	10	5	9	5	19	5	48
1	2002220400005	Konika Thakur	9	5	9	5	9	5	18	5	45
5	2002220400006	Md Aamir Raza	8	4	8	4	8	4	16	4	40
6	2002220400007	Rakesh Kumar Chauhan	9	5	9	5	9	5	17	5	44
7	2102220409001	Abdul Hasib	9	5	9	5	9	5	17	5	44
8	2102220409003	Shlabh Kumar Kapil	9	5	9	5	9	5	17	5	44
9	2102220409004	Suraj Kumar	9	5	9	5	-9	5	19	5	46

griefer?

Heed of Department

TTS Engineering College Greater Noida



I.T.S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(A NAAC Accredited Engineering College)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Marks Assessment Sheet

Batch 2021-25 Session 2022-23 Subject Mini Project Code KME 354

	Methodology		Scale				
	Course Outcome (COs)	1 (0-20%)	2 (20-40%)	3 (40-60%)	4(60-80%)	5(80-100%)	
CO-1	Practice acquired knowledge within the chosen area for project development.	Did not practice acquired knowledge	Very less acquired knowledge practiced	Good acquired knowledge practiced	Very good acquired knowledge practiced	Acquired knowledge practiced in best way.	
CO-2	Identify, reproduce, improve and refine technical aspects for engineering project.	Does not identify, reproduce, improve and refine technical aspects	Identify, reproduce, improve and refine technical aspects very little	Identify, reproduce, improve and refine technical aspects in basic way.		Identify, reproduce, improve and refine technical aspects in an excellent way.	
CO-3	Work as an individual or in a team in development of technical project	Did not perform any duty assigned as an individual or in a team.	Performed very few duties	Performed nearly all duties	Performed almost all duties assigned	Performed all duties assigned in best way.	
CO-4	Communicate and report effectively project related activities and findings.	Did not demonstrate and report effectively	Demonstrate and report satisfactorily.	Demonstrate and report in a good way.	Very good demonstration and reporting.	Best demonstration and reporting.	

Director
College
Greater Noida

S. No.	Roll No.	Name of the Student	Practice acquired knowledge (CO1)		Identify, reproduce, improve and refine (CO2)		individual or in a team (CO3)		report (CO4)		Internal Marks 50
8											
			Marks	Scale	Marks	Scale	Marks Scale		Marks Scale		- Committee of the last of the
1	2102220400001	Abhay Pratap Singh	8	4	8	4	8	4	16	4	40
2	2102220400004	Guru Pratap Singh	8	4	8	4	8	4	16	4	40
3	2102220400005	Harsh Kumar Prajapati	9	5	9	5	9	5	18	5	45
4	2102220400006	HARSHIT SHARMA	10	5	9	5	9	5	17	5	45
5	2102220400007	Md Adil	8	4	8	4	8	4	14	4	38
6	2102220400008	Md Ismail	7	4	7	4	7	4	14	4	35
7	2102220400011	Nitin Sharma	8	4	8	4	8 ,	4	16	4	40
8	2102220400013	Sachin Sharma	10	5	9	5	9	5	17	5	45
9	220222409001	Abhishek Paswan	7	4	7	4	7	4	14	4	35
10	220222409002	Himanshu Kumar	8	4	8	4	8	4	14	4	38
11	220222409003	Md Sameer Ibrar .	8	4	7	4	7	4	14	4	36
12	220222409004	Nikhil Nagar	7	4	7	4	7	4	14	4	35
13	220222409005	Prashant Pandey	9	5	8	4	9	5	16	4	42
14	220222409006	Rohil Khan	7	4	7	4	7	4	14	4	35
15	220222409007	Shivam Kumar	10	5	9	5	9	5	17	- 5	45

Rohan

STORESTON OF THE PROPERTY OF T

Treater Noida